Innovation Showcase 2019

A qualitative study of multi-level influences on oral hygiene practices for young children in Early Head Start TL Finlayson, MJ Cabudol, J Liu, JR Garza, H Lindau, S Scarborough, N Bunte de Carvalho, SA Gansky, F Ramos-Gomez

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

UCLA

- Early childhood caries (ECC) remains the most prevalent chronic childhood disease in the United States ¹⁻³
- ECC poses a serious threat to child welfare, particularly among economically disadvantaged, underserved, and migrant children ⁴⁻⁵.
- National ECC prevalence (any decayed, extracted, or filled primary teeth (deft)) among 2–5 year olds was 23% in 2011-2012⁶. Mexican-Americans had double the ECC severity of non-Hispanic Whites ⁷.
- Individual child-level risk factors for ECC have been studied, but broader family- and community- level influences on child oral hygiene behaviors are less well understood.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

This study explored multiple levels of influence on mothers' oral health-related knowledge, attitudes and oral hygiene behaviors for young children in Early Head Start (EHS) to inform future behavioral intervention targeting children from low income families⁸.

We sought to understand how and where to intervene and support optimal child oral hygiene practices, defined as twice daily toothbrushing with age-appropriate amount of fluoridated toothpaste.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Study Design:

This qualitative study used interviews with 25 parents of children under four years old in one EHS in Los Angeles, CA. This study investigated child-, family-, and community-level influences on the EHS child's oral hygiene practices. Sample demographics were collected. Participants got a \$40 grocery store gift card.

- Semi-structured interviews (~ 1 hr) covered these topics:
 - EHS parent-to-parent communication
 - Family oral health practices
 - Brushing narratives

Participant Recruitment:

- 14 EHS home visitors (HV) verbally advertised the study and disseminated a project flyer to each of their families
- Trained Research Assistants (RA) from UCLA contacted parents and screened them for eligibility. Eligibility requirement included: 1. parent must be primary caregiver; 2. child must be at least 6 months old but less than 4 yrs old; 3. child must be enrolled in or waitlisted for the EHS HV program



Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was guided by Fisher-Owens and colleague's conceptual framework,⁹ which examines multi-level influences on child oral hygiene behavior.

FIGURE 1. Framework



Study funding: NIH Award Number UH2/UH3DE025514

Venice Family Clinic

| LE 1. Themes Identified | |
|--------------------------|--|
| ild Independence | Desire for independenceStage of development |
| Mothers' Role | Have primary responsibility for child's oral hygiene routine Mothers model brushing behavior |
| her Family Roles | Older siblingsFather's role |
| Oral Health Knowledge | Mothers were knowledgeable about ECC risk factors |
| al Health Attitudes | ECC prevention motivated oral hygiene behavior Oral health is important and related to overall health |
| kills & Strategies | Storytelling, singing or playing song, showing child photos on phone, watching videos or television |
| Social Norms | Community Oral Health environment Social environment Health system characteristic |
| | |

LESSONS LEAKNED

• Mothers had strategies for overcoming brushing challenges, and were knowledgeable about ECC risk factors, and were motivated to brush

• Identified multiple influences on child oral hygiene behavior, at the child-, family-, and community-level.

• Informed the development of tailored oral health preventive care programs for EHS families in the HV program

• There are opportunities to enhance knowledge and skills around oral hygiene specifically, and involve other family members

EHS HV are well positioned to assess needs and provide support, education, and resources to improve parental toothbrushing techniques and help parents overcome barriers and challenges to promote oral health in earliest childhood

REFERENCES

1. Vargas CM, Crall JJ& Schneider DA. Sociodemographic distribution of pediatric dental caries: NHANES III, 1988-1994. J Am Dent Assoc. 129: 1229-38, 1998.

3. Centers for Disease Control. Promoting oral health: interventions for preventing dental cares, oral and pharyngeal cancers, and sports-related craniofacial injuries. A report on recommendations of the task force on community preventive services. Centers for Disease Control: 2001; pp 1-13.

^{2.} Poland C 3rd, Hale KJ. Providing oral health to the little ones. *J Indiana Dent Assoc.* 82: 8-14, **2003**.

^{4.} Ramos-Gomez FJ, Crall J, Gansky SA, Slayton RL, Featherstone JD. Caries risk assessment appropriate for the age 1 visit (infants and toddlers). J Calif Dent Assoc. 35: 687-702, 2007

^{5.} Dental Health Foundation. "Mommy, It Hurts to Chew" The California Smile Survey: An Oral Health Assessment of California's Kindergarten and 3rd Grade Children; Dental Health Foundation: 2006.

^{6.} Dye BA, Thornton-Evans G, Li X, lafolla TJ. Dental caries and sealant prevalence in children and adolescents in the United States, 2011–2012. NCHS data brief, no 191. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2015.

^{7.} Wiener RC, Long DL, Jurevic RJ. Blood levels of the heavy metal, lead, and caries in children aged 24-72 months: NHANES III Caries Res. 49:26-33, 2015.

^{8.} Finlayson, T. L., Cabudol, M., Liu, J. X., Garza, J. R., Gansky, S. A., & Ramos-Gomez, F. (2019). A qualitative study of the multi-level influences on oral hygiene practices for young children in an Early Head Start program. BMC oral health, 19(1), 166. doi:10.1186/s12903-019-0857-7

^{9.} Fisher-Owens SA, Gansky SA, Platt LJ, Weintrab JA, Soobader M-J, Bramlett MD, et al. Influences on children's oral health: A conceptual model. Pediatr. 2007; 120(3): e510-20.